

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Stephen J. Howell, et al.

Art Unit: 2115

Serial No.: 10/688,526

Examiner: Desta, Elias

Filed: October 17, 2003

METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR FILM COOLING GAS TURBINE ENGINE

COMBUSTORS

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL TO THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Express Mail Mailing Label No.: EV 679278554

Date of Mailing: October 31, 2005

I hereby certify that the documents listed below:

- Issue Fee Transmittal (1 page, in duplicate)
- Comments On Statements for Allowance (2 pgs.)
- Postcard

are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. §1.10 on the date indicated above in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert B. Reeser

Reg. No. 45,548 Armstrong Teasdale LLP

One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600

St. Louis, MO 63012

(314) 621-5070

132452 PATENT



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Stephen J. Howell, et al.

Art Unit: 2115

Serial No.: 10/688,526

Examiner: Desta, Elias

Filed: October 17, 2003

•

For: METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR FILM COOLING GAS TURBINE ENGINE

COMBUSTORS

.

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313

Sir:

The following comments are in response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance in the Notice of Allowability dated August 10, 2005.

Applicants believe that the Statement of Reasons for Allowance in this case is improper as it merely copies portions of each limitation of the independent claim into the reasons for allowance. While Applicants believe that the claims are allowable, Applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides in each feature, exactly as expressed in the claims, nor that each feature is required for patentability.

Also, reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear the Examiner's reasons for allowing a claim or claims (see 37 CFR §1.104(e)). In the present case, Applicants believe the record as a whole does make the reasons for allowance clear and therefore no statement by the Examiner is

132452 PATENT

necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance and do not necessarily agree with the Examiner's interpretation of the teachings of the cited art.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert B. Reeser III

Registration No. 45,548

ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP

One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600

St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2740

(314) 621-5070